



## Appendix J - Interim Draft Comment Tracking

BLANK

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
1	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	1	Page 1-1	Last paragraph under Program Goals and Actions: "Identify new land use regulations..." Will model regulations be provided for lighting, land use, height, etc? Small towns with limited to no budget for accomplishing these proposed regulations will have a difficult time getting this work accomplished.	Noted.
2	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	1	1.1.5	Page 1-4, Section 1.1.5, 3 <sup>rd</sup> paragraph "Coups" should be "Corps".	Spelling will be corrected.
3	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	1	1.4.7	Page 1-11, Section 1.4.7, Last paragraph: "criterion" is singular. Do you mean "criteria" which would be multiple criterions?	Correction will be made.
4	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	13	13.3.5	Page 13-12, Section 13.3.5, Radio Frequency Interference, 2nd paragraph, "city" should be "county" throughout the paragraph.	Correction will be made.
5	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Implement property sales and lease disclosure...how is the city expected to implement this recommendation? If by ordinance, can you provide a model?	Noted.
6	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Implement lighting ordinance...can you provide a model?	Noted.
7	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Educate developers and builders on RF ....do you have a suggested brochure?	Noted.
8	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Implement public awareness measures... any suggestions on how to accomplish this? Any funds available for implementing?	Noted.
9	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Identify cruise missile routes on maps...this we can do.	Noted.
10	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Limit residential density away from cruise missile corridor... this we can do.	Noted.
11	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Promote state, federal assistance with land acquisition....Would appreciate changing this recommendation to read: "Support (or promote) state and federal land acquisition in the cruise missile corridor". The City does not have the staff or the resources to pursue land acquisition nor maintain if it did acquire such lands.	Noted.
12	8/22/2008	DeFuniak Springs	14	Page 14 - 8	Implement MIA ordinance...we can do this if a model is provided.	Noted.
13	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	---	Universal recommendatins that apply to the entire study area and that are not unique to the local government should be provided in a summary section in the beginning. A universal recommendation section also may make individual local governemnts feel less singled out.	Noted.
14	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	---	The Draft JLUS recommendations need to give local governments enough guidance for implementation.	Noted.
15	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	Implement Construction Standards. The specific construction standards to decrease noise levels in noise zones should be reference. Most Florida Building Officials only have the authority to enforce the Florida Building Code.	Noted.
16	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	Implement Property Sales and Lease Disclosure. Florida Cities do not have direct involvement in real estate transactions. This comment should be directed at Counties who have jurisdiction of the County Property Appraiser and County Clerk of the Court where real estate transactions are recorded.	Noted.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
17	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Limit Object Heights and Implemtn MIA Ordinance.</u> This recommendation could be included under the MIA Ordinance. More guidance should be provided to the local governments by providing specific requirements by including a model MIA Ordinance or including an example MIA Ordinance such as the Santa Rosa County Land Development Code (SRCLDC). The SRCLDC states, "No structure or obstruction will be permitted in the inner-horizontal surface of a greater height than 150 above airport elevation." This is a useful requirement that can be implemented with more ease than "limit object heights".	This recommendation refers to the Eglin height study which provides specific height limits.
18	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Implemtn Outdoor Lighting Standards.</u> Provide model language and possibly include with the MIA.	Noted.
19	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Discourage increases in density and intensity within one mile of Eglin Boundary &amp; MIA Ordinance.</u> This recommendation should also include a mechanism for implementation. For instance requiring that maps of the Clear Zone, Accident Potential Zones, and noise zones be included in the Comprehensive Plan Future Land Use Map series. Add a policy to Future Land Use Element that location in these zones will be considered when the local government is hearing amendments that increase density. In additiona in the MIA Ordinance shoul require that these zones will be delineated on siste plans that have been submitted for approval.	The intent of this recommendation is to minimize development in existing undeveloped lands surrounding Eglin's reservation.
20	1/23/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Educate Developers &amp; Builders on Radio Frequency.</u> Providing local governments with a sample handout will help them implment this recommendation.	Noted.
21	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>General Comment.</u> Recommend including an Executive Overview at the beginning of document to describe purpose, content and recommendations. Rationale: This will provide quick, top-level view of the JLUS without having to read entire document.	Executive Summary will be added to Draft version of report.
22	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>General Comment:</u> Recommend that rather than placing Section Number at the bottom of each page on the left, place it at the top left. Rationale: Clarity and ease of use while viewing document on computer screen.	Noted.
23	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Cultural Resources Comment.</u> Archaeological sites, sensitive areas and historic structures should be included in resource protection and conservation measures for all land acquisition, land swap and enhanced use lease and planning activities. Rationale: Accuracy and completeness.	Noted.
24	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Throughout.</u> On the first page of Sections 3, 8 & 15, the image has been designated a figure #. On the first page of Sections 2, 4-7 & 9-14, the image has NOT been given figure #. It should be consistent one way or the other. Rationale: Consistency.	Correction will be made.
25	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	<u>Throughout.</u> In all but a few of the sections, the identified issue items are not listed in the same order that they are described throughout the section. Rationale: Proper formatting & Consistency.	Redistribution of Issues & Analysis to coincide in same order will be made.

**EGLIN JLUS**  
**INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING**  
**TETRA TECH**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
26	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	General	Recommendations	Throughout. For each issue item, there is not always a corresponding analysis item. Rationale: Consistency.	Noted.
27	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1	P. 1-2, Reference bullets under "Anticipated benefits include:" - Rewrite "Protection of future military missions at Eglin to be "Protection of current and future military missions at Eglin." Rationale: Completeness - Rewrite "Health, safety, and welfare concerns of the community Addressed" to be "Addresses community's health, safety, and welfare concerns" Rationale: Consistency	Correction will be made.
28	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.1	P. 1-2, Para. 1.1.1, Units for square miles are not consistent (sq. mi. -vs- mi <sup>2</sup> ). Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
29	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.2	P. 1-2, Para. 1.1.2, Units for square nautical miles are not consistent (NM2 -vs- sq. NM). Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
30	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.2	P. 1-2, Para. 1.1.2, "Eglin exercises daily air traffic control over a total of 26,901 square nautical miles (NM2), of which 9 percent (2,479 sq. NM) is over land and 91 percent (24,422 sq. NM) is over water." Modify this information to accurately reflect Eglin's profile. Rationale: Accuracy.	Correction will be made based on Eglin Profile provided by the base.
31	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.3	P. 1-4, Para. 1.1.3, Second sentence, "It supports the following associate units." Remove the work associate. Rationale: Several of the organizations included in the list (46TWW and 96ABW) are not associate units.	Correction will be made.
32	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.4	P. 1-4, Para. 1.1.4, Second sentence, "AAC is responsible for the development, acquisition, testing, deployment, and sustainment of all air-delivered weapons." Add "conventional" to the phrase to make it "air-delivered conventional weapons." Rationale: Accuracy.	Correction will be made.
33	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-4, Para. 1.1.5, Joint Strike Fighter is defined as JSF, no need to spell it out again. Check for other occurrences. Rationale: Format consistency.	Noted.
34	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-4, Ref. Para. 1.1.5, Properly align descriptions of 3 F-35 variants under each variant title. Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
35	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-4, Para. 1.1.5, The acronym for Short Takeoff and Vertical Landing is STOVL vs. STOVAL. Update as required. Rationale: Accuracy. P. 1-5, Ref. first complete sentence, "Then F-35C is the Navy's first stealth aircraft." Should be rewritten to be "The F-35C is ...". Rationale: Correct typo.	Correction will be made.
36	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-5, Ref. first sentence of first complete paragraph, need space between "7th SFG(A)" and "will". Rationale: format.	Correction will be made.

**EGLIN JLUS**  
**INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING**  
**TETRA TECH**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
37	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-5, Replace second sentence of first complete para. with the following: "The last new mission to be located at Eglin AFB is the Defense Threat Reduction Agency's (DTRA) Conventional Armament Research Organization. This organization is responsible for developing, testing and fielding conventional weapons technologies for our warfighters to counter Weapons of Mass Destruction (WMD). DTRA's move from Fort Belvoir, Virginia to Eglin creates an Air Integrated Weapons and Armaments Research, Development and Acquisition, Test and Evaluation Center." Rationale: Accuracy and completeness.	Revision will be made.
38	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.5	P. 1-5, Para. 1.2.3, JLUS is spelled out in 1.1, no need to spell it out again. Check for other occurrences. Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
39	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.7	P. 1-7, Under "Base Planners," replace "John Santee" with "Marisol Reina."	Correction will be made.
40	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.8	Under "Resources," delete Col Janice Vincent. Rationale: Accuracy.	Correction will be made.
41	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.4.8	P. 1-8, Para. 1.3.5 Radio Frequency Spectrums, RF at Eglin includes TM as well as Communication. Update para. as required. Rationale: Accuracy.	Revision will be made.
42	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.5.2	P. 1-10, Para. 1.4.8, add CV-22 as fleet replacement for H-53s under Hurbutt Field section. Rationale: Accuracy.	Revision will be made.
43	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.5.7	P. 1-12, Para. 1.5.2, Remove underline in text in this paragraph. Rationale: Format.	Correction will be made.
44	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.5.5	P. 1-13, Para. 1.5.7, Second paragraph, 1st sentence should be modified as follows, "SRI has 20 test sites, all are actively used in support of the test and training mission at Eglin." Rationale: Accuracy.	Correction will be made.
45	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.5.7	P. 1-13, Para. 1.5.5 makes reference to Pino and Sontay Drop Zones. Need to include a map that shows these zones. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted
46	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	Table 1-1	P. 1-13, Para. 1.5.6, The "Cruise Missile Corridors" subsection has the number 4000 without a ". Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
47	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.6.7	P. 1-14, Ref. Table. Need to include notes. Rationale: Clarity.	Add'l info will be added.
48	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.7	P. 1-19, Para. 1.6.7, The "Cruise Missile Corridors" subsection has the number 4000 without a ". Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
49	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	2	2.1	P. 1-20, Para. 1.7, 7SFG (A) is spelled out in 1.1.5, no need to spell it out again. Check for other occurrences. Rationale: Format consistency.	Revision will be made.
50	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	3	---	P. 2-1, Para. 2.1, Recommend including a paragraph about SRC's JLUS and its success in managing compatible military/community growth. Rationale: Completeness.	Add'l info will be added.
					P. 3-1, Include reference to Navy and Marine STOVL flight patterns to, from and around runways. These flight patterns are different from Air Force flight patterns. Rationale: Completeness.	Correction will be made based on information provided by the base.

**EGLIN JLUS**  
**INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING**  
**TETRA TECH**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
51	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	3	---	P. 3-2, "Controlled Firing Areas" listed as an issue, but not described in the subsection. Either delete the bullet identifying "controlled firing areas" as an issue or include a description. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
52	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	3	3.2.5	P. 3-6, Para. 3.2.5. Fix font spacing. Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
53	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	3	Fig 3-5	P. 3-7 and P. 3-8, Figures on these pages are both labeled Fig. 3-5. Update Figure labels as required. Rationale: Format.	Correction will be made.
54	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	3	3.3.2	P. 3-18, Para. 3.3.2. Fix font spacing. Rationale: Format consistency.	Correction will be made.
55	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	6	---	P. 6-1, Para. 6.2. "Impulse Noise" identified twice as an issue, eliminate last bullet. Rationale: Eliminate redundancy.	Correction will be made.
56	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	6	6.2	P. 6-1, Para. 6.2 identifies "Terminal Instrument Procedures" as an issue but no paragraph is included describing this as an issue. Need to delete this as an issue or include a paragraph describing it. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
57	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	6	6.3.3	P. 6-11, Para. 6.3.3 makes reference to "(Table _)." Need to reference appropriate table. Rationale: Format.	Correction will be made.
58	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	8	8.2.4	P. 8-3, Para. 8.2.4 should be labeled as 8.2.3. Rationale: Proper format.	Correction will be made.
59	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	8	8.4	P. 8-5, Para. 8.4, identifies recommendations for "Outdoor Lighting Ordinance", however, there is no issue description or analysis description on lighting. Need to delete this as a recommendation or include an issue/analysis paragraph describing it. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
60	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	10	---	P. 10-3, 1st Para. Sentence 6: Replace "has" with "as." P. 10-3, 3d Para, Sentence 2: Replace "landing" with "land."	Correction will be made.
61	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	10	10.2.7	P. 10-7, Modify 1st sentence of Para. 10.2.7 to make a complete sentence. Rationale: Format.	Correction will be made.
62	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	10	Table 12-1 & 10-1	P. 10-17, Rename Table 12-1 to Table 10-1. Rationale: Format.	Correction will be made.
63	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	11	11.2	P. 11-1, Para. 11.2 identifies "Terminal Instrument Procedures" as an issue but no paragraph is included describing this as an issue. Need to delete this as an issue or include a paragraph describing it. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
64	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	11	11.2.3	P. 11-5, Para. 11.2.3, paragraph 11.2.3 is missing, re-label accordingly. Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
65	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	11	11.2.4	P. 11-5, Para. 11.2.4, The three paragraphs prior to the concluding paragraph discuss potential TERPS and ILS height conflicts. Concluding para states adherence to Building Height Study recommendations should be recommended to avoid conflicts with TERPS & ILS activities. (1) Shalimar is not in path of ILS and (2) Bldg Hgt Study was developed to preclude Line-of-Sight blockage of range radars. Update para. to reflect this information. Rationale: Accuracy.	Noted.
66	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	11	11.2.5	P. 11-5, Para. 11.2.5, Para. discusses potential lighting conflicting with Army helo training. At this time, Army does not plan to conduct night helo training over Shalimar. Update this para. to reflect this information. Rationale: Accuracy.	Noted.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
67	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	12	11.3.3	P. 12-19, Two paragraphs on this page labeled 12.3.3, need to re-label. Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
68	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	13	11.2.8	P. 13-9, Para. 13.2.8, "see Section 3.6" This is an incorrect reference. Correct the reference. Rationale: Completeness.	Correction will be made.
69	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	13	11.2.9	P. 13-9, Para. 13.2.8, The "Cruise Missile Corridors" subsection has the number 4000 without a ". ". Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
70	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	14	---	P. 14-1, "Eglin Perimeter Boundary Development" should be identified as an issue for DeFuniak Springs. Rationale: Completeness.	Incorporated limits of the City do not touch the Eglin Boundary.
71	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	14	---	P. 14-3, Why is there not a map similar to Figure 2-2 and 3-2 for Walton County? Rationale: Completeness	Noted.
72	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	14	14.2.5	P. 14-4, Para. 14.2.5, "see Section 3.6" This is an incorrect reference. Correct the reference. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
73	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	14	14.2.5	P. 14-4, Para. 14.2.5, The "Cruise Missile Corridors" subsection has the number 4000 without a ". ". Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
74	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	15	---	P. 15-1, "Eglin Perimeter Boundary Development" should be identified as an issue for Freeport. Rationale: Completeness.	Noted.
75	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	15	15.2.5	P. 15-3, Para. 15.2.5, "see Section 3.6" This is an incorrect reference. Correct the reference. Rationale: Completeness.	Correction will be made.
76	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	15	15.2.5	P. 15-3, Para. 15.2.5, The "Cruise Missile Corridors" subsection has the number 4000 without a ". ". Rationale: Proper formatting.	Correction will be made.
77	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.1.2	Page 1-2, para 1.1.2, list: Add "(site of Air Force Space Command phased array space surveillance radar)" after "Site C-6". Rationale: standardization and clarity.	Add'l info will be added.
78	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	1	1.3.3, 1.3.5, 1.6, 1.8, 1.8.1	There are several sections that discuss "height of objects" and "radio frequency spectrum" (e.g., 1.3.3, 1.3.5, 1.6, 1.8, 1.8.1, , but they focus solely on their relation to flight operations and range instrumentation. There is no reference to the impact of tall objects or radio frequency transmissions on the operation of the AN/FPS-85 phased array space surveillance radar at Site C-6, or its potential impact on tall objects and other radio frequency transmissions. (The AN/FPS-85 radar has a combined output power of 32 million watts and an effective tracking range of more than 22,300 nautical miles.) Recommend adding such data to include an expanded radio frequency spectrum range to cover radar operating frequencies. Rationale: comprehensiveness.	Add'l info will be added based on information provided by Eglin.
79	1/29/2009	Eglin AFB	13, 14, and 15	---	Chapter 13, 14, and 15 cover information related to Walton County, DeFuniak Springs, and Freeport. The AN/FPS-85 phased array space surveillance radar at Site C-6 is located in Walton County, near the cities of Freeport and DeFuniak Springs. However, there is no mention of the site or the radar. (The AN/FPS-85 radar has a combined output power of 32 million watts and an effective tracking range of more than 22,300 nautical miles.) Recommend including it in these sections. Adjacent to Hurlburt Field is the Industrial Park which is typically considered an intense use, however, it is appropriate adjacent to Hurlburt Field, as it is compatible with the uses on Hurlburt Field.	Add'l info will be added based on information provided by Eglin.
80	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4		Noted.

**EGLIN JLUS**  
**INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING**  
**TETRA TECH**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
81	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	The City does not object to this recommendation, and in fact is in agreement with it. However, it should be coordinated with the Board of Realtors, Economic Development Council and the Tourist Development Council.	Noted.
82	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	The City was the first jurisdiction within Okaloosa County to adjust its height restrictions to be in synch with the recommendations of the Military.	Noted.
83	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	The City would request a sample ordinance that would address the concerns of the military.	A sample Lighting Ordinance can be included in Draft version of the JLUS report which could be used as a template for an ordinance drafted by the City to suit its particular needs.
84	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	The City would provide a copy of an informational brochure/sheet/etc. provided by the military with each building permit.	Noted.
85	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	The City would implement a public awareness campaign upon provision of such.	Noted.
86	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	Implement Military Influence Area (MIA) Ordinance - Response: The City would review and consider a sample ordinance if provided by the military.	A sample Military Influence Area Ordinance will be included in Draft version of the JLUS report which can be used as a template by the City to draft a version suited to its own particular needs.
87	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	Comprehensive Plan Goals - Response: The City is in the process of revising its comprehensive plan and would include any input from the military on these issues.	The JLUS can serve as one avenue of input for the military, as can the military liaison(s) appointed to the City's Local Planning Agency as required by the Growth Management Act
88	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	Identify Objectives to Resolving Encroachment Issues - Response: The City is in the process of revising its comprehensive plan and would include any input from the military on these issues.	See response to previous comment.
89	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	Identify Policies to Implement each Objective - Response: The City is in the process of revising its comprehensive plan and would include any input from the military on these issues.	See response to previous comment.
90	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	7	7.4	In conclusion, the city has coordinated with the Military in the land development code on land use compatibility issues and heights. The city would be willing to continue to work with the military on outdoor lighting and electronic transmissions as well as other issues that are more general in nature mentioned above.	Noted.
91	7/16/2008	Ft Walton Beach	General	-	Thank you for the opportunity to review the draft which I thought was well organized and informative.	Noted.
92	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	1.1	1.1.2	Mentions Site C-6 but does not show it on Figure 1-1.	Figure showing Site C-6 can be added as part of Draft JLUS report.
93	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	1.5	1.5.2	Discussion includes Field 6, Field 1, Pino and Sontay Drop Zones, and approach routes but none are depicted on any maps	Map(s) showing locations can be added as part of Draft JLUS report.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
94	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	1.6	1.6.3	Military Training Routes are not depicted on any maps. Jay is an incorporated town. Bagdad, Navarre, Navarre Beach, and Pace are unincorporated communities. Note that there are a number of other unincorporated communities in the County.	Maps such as Figure 3-10 can be added.
95	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.1	Reference is made to Figure 2-20. Figure on Page 2-27 is mislabeled as Figure 2-18.	Noted.
96	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	-	Discussion states "Noise contours for Alternative 2 will be greater in the unincorporated parts of the County..." Figure 2-6 shows Alternative 1 with the greater impact.	Correct label will be used. Correct noise with F-35 Alternative with greatest impact on Santa Rosa County will be used.
97	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.2.5	Land Use/Structures in APZ not true - some land owned by JTL that is why some of the land is zoned R-1.	R-1 land is noted as vacant in the report.
98	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.3.2	Subsection indicates 1 SFD within the 65 db area. County records do not indicate the presence of any structures. Verify the location.	Land use description will be verified as part of the Draft JLUS report.
99	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.3.3		
100	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.3.4	Figure 2-10 depicts the corridor over Pensacola Beach which has higher development density than Santa Rosa County. Recommend consulting Escambia County concerning the document.	Relevant information will be conveyed to Escambia County.
101	7/10/2008	Santa Rosa County	Table 1-1	-	Notes are missing. New construction standards to decrease noise: This recommendation is consistent with the Santa Rosa County JLUS, although we have yet to implement that recommendation. Note that the recommendation addresses impact of noise within structures only and does not mitigate Sales & Lease Disclosures. The concept is consistent with the Santa Rosa County JLUS; however, the areas identified are too broad for the recommendation to be practical.	Notes will be added.
102	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	Lighting Ordinance. The concept is consistent with the Santa Rosa County JLUS; however, it should be more specific with regard to areas impacted. The wording should use the term "avoid" rather than "reduction" as the JLUS draft does not identify an area where existing light levels are a problem and in need of reduction.	Noted.
103	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	Educate Developers & Builders on Radio Frequency. The local governments have no background in Radio Frequency interference; therefore it would be incumbent on the Air Force to provide appropriate materials and/or programs. Local government PIO's might provide assistance in distribution of information. Also, based on the examples noted in the JLUS, working with providers of specific interfering (or interfered with) mechanisms, rather than with developers and builders, might be more effective.	Noted.
104	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4		Noted.
105	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4		Noted.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
106	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Public Awareness Measures.</u> Initially, it is unclear if this recommendation is meant to address positive or negative impacts on the region. Assuming the recommendation refers to positive economic impacts, this needs to be a joint effort with Eglin providing economic information as well as their perspective on how operations support or impact local neighborhoods.</p> <p><u>Identify Low Level Training Areas on County Maps and Public Records &amp; Reports.</u> As with other recommendations, the area referred to in this recommendation is too broad to be practical. Figure 2.5 identifies ½ of Santa Rosa County as being within Low Level Training areas. Critical Approach Areas are not clearly identified. The purpose of the recommendation should be clear so that specific types of maps can be annotated, rather than showing these areas on "all county maps or public reports". For example, Santa Rosa County already requires Military Airport Zones to be shown on preliminary plats to ensure lot layout is consistent with MAZ requirements.</p>	Noted.
107	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Record and Disclose Low Level Training Areas.</u> Again, the areas referred to should be more specific. The purpose of the recommendation should be clear and consider the specific constitutional responsibilities of the agencies mentioned. Consider tasking the Eglin PIO with producing informational brochures for distribution at County Clerk and Property Appraiser offices.</p>	Noted.
108	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Implement Comp Plan Amendments Discouraging Boat Traffic &amp; Facilities.</u> The JLUS text, derived from the Eglin RAICUZ, refers specifically to possible conflicts arising from high-impact changes such as construction of a pass or installation of an artificial reef. With that in mind, this recommendation seems too restrictive.</p>	Noted.
109	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Limit Residential Density or Allow Clustering Away from Low Level Approach Patterns.</u> The concept is consistent with Santa Rosa JLUS recommendations; however, the since Low Level Approach Patterns are not mapped in the Eglin JLUS, it's difficult to determine if the recommendation is appropriate in those area. Ideally, the JLUS would identify those low level approach patterns, analyze the land use patterns and ownership, and develop options for developing/restricting development for the appropriate areas.</p>	Noted.
110	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Land Acquisition Program.</u> This is a good recommendation, but it's unclear why the focus in on areas around Choctaw Field.</p>	Noted.
111	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Access Management Agreement.</u> This recommendation should be re-worded clarify the intent. The Interlocal Agreement referred to deals with limiting access to land-locked parcels on southwest side of Choctaw Field to avoid incompatible development.</p>	Noted.
112	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<p><u>Conduct Small Area Study.</u> This would be a massive undertaking as the identified LLTA covers the majority of the developed portion of Santa Rosa County. Again, the recommendation is too broad to be practical or meaningful.</p>	Noted.
113	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4		Noted.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
114	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<u>Limit Object Heights.</u> Santa Rosa County already limits heights within MAZ's. The recommendation should specify how and where those restrictions should be modified.	Noted.
115	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<u>Update Military Environs Ordinance.</u> Our Military Environs Ordinance (LDC Article 11, Airport Environs) already includes identified MIA's. The recommendation should specify what changes are needed to protect Eglin range operations.	Noted.
116	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	<u>Implement Comp Plan Military Influence Area Goals, Objectives, &amp; Policies.</u> The recommendation should specify how Santa Rosa's Comp Plan policies related to military encroachment should be modified to protect Eglin range operations.	Noted.
117	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	The draft utilizes the least impacting alternative from the BRAC EIS for noise impacts of the Joint Strike Fighter. Staff recommends using the most impacting alternative for planning purposes.	Noted.
118	7/23/2008	Santa Rosa County	2	2.4	The draft does not address the specific request from the Eglin AFB Mission Enhancement Committee related to adoption of an MAZ for the northwest portion of restricted airspace R2915A (letter from Bob Arnold; December 19, 2007)	Noted.
119	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	In accordance with the Sunshine Laws of the State of Florida, the Valparaiso City Commission will convene a public meeting to discuss the contents of the JLUS interim draft report and solicit feedback from our citizens who are all affected by these BRAC actions. Based upon the decision and concerns resulting from the meeting, the City of Caplaraiso will prepare written comments. The City will provide the comments to the Okaloosa County JLUS Program Manager, and appropriate Government and legislative officials and organizations.	Noted.
120	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	City Officials take exception to the fact that no similar Section on issues, analysis and recommendations of Eglin AFB mission activities on the City of Valparaiso or other areas in the three counties was included in this document. We were told by the JLUS Program Manager and the contractor, Tetra Tech, that a section on Eglin AFB responsibilities and mitigations would be included in this document.	The Eglin section will be added to Draft version of the JLUS report.
121	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	DoD is bringing about these issues on our community and in particular on our city, based upon the change of the mission at Eglin AFB.	The purpose of the JLUS is to provide the military an avenue to inform local governments of their plans and missions so affected local governments can ensure their land use plans and regulations do not result in conflicts with military operations. A JLUS cannot undo the Federal legislation that brings the F-35, Special Forces, and other operations to Eglin Air Force Base.

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
122	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	The report implies that it is the responsibility of the City to provide the money and effort to start programs and obtain grants to mitigate the issues, rather than have Eglin AFB/ASAF to mitigate the problems that this mission change is causing our communities!	JLUS recommendations are typically the responsibility of the local community to adopt and implement. The same holds true for the Eglin JLUS. Funding to implement the recommendations is not implied to be solely from the affected jurisdiction. Some of the recommendations in the Eglin JLUS address securing funding from outside sources. However, those sources normally require local affected jurisdictions to take a substantive role in the process.
123	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	Eglin AFB/USAF needs to specify a sound mitigation plan that includes what property they are willing to purchase, whether they will make available Eglin Properties in Valparaiso and other communities for trade, and the grants the Government will pursue to offset the effects to the citizen (moving of households, sale of property, soundproofing of buildings, cost of modifying lighting/limitations of lighting, cost of mitigating radio frequency issues (cable, internet, businesses, homeowners), inability to participate in outdoor activities).	The JLUS recommendations include the creation of both Property Acquisition and Sound Attenuation programs. The specifics are best left to local community leaders to determine the best courses of action in creating programs that best benefit that community. This forms the basis for discussions and negotiations with Eglin AFB to determine their role in these new initiatives.
124	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	The quality of living will be severely diminished by the noise anticipated in our City.	This comment would be more effective directed at the on-going EIS which is specifically intended to identify issues such as this. The JLUS can include a statement reflecting the City's position. It is premature to identify specific elements of Special Use Agreement at this time since the USAF is currently developing the F-35 program and can not commit to any special use provisions until program is finalized and tested. We will assume City concurs with developing a Special Use Agreement.
125	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	An example of a Letter of Special Use Agreement between Kirkland AFB and the City of Albuquerque to abate noise in Albuquerque, NM was provided in the report; however, we request a specific solution for Valparaiso and Eglin AFB.	Notwithstanding, it is important the JLUS present as many options as possible, what may seem unrealistic at the present can be feasible as economic, social or other circumstances change.
126	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	Some of the proposed recommendations are unrealistic.	

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
127	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>The redevelopment plan to transfer development rights from the APZ areas to Plat 1 is unthinkable. Plat 1 consists of historic homes as well as our historic district. The redevelopment plan would totally change the character of our city by creating high density development. Since there exists little undeveloped land in Plat 1 this solution would require the purchase of existing dwellings and the razing and rebuilding of multi-family units on these properties. The city recently conducted a visioning process and the overwhelming response was to maintain the small town atmosphere. The proposed redevelopment plan is a complete contradiction to the desire of its citizens.</p> <p>The proposed program to retrofit private properties with sound attenuation puts the burden on the city. Why should it be the city's responsibility to find funding and provide staff to implement this program? Shouldn't Eglin be responsible? In addition, the program only addresses indoor noise. The noise contours are totally unacceptable for Valparaiso and limit not just in-home habitation, but outdoor activities which are the reason most people live in this area. The JLUS draft needs to address this issue.</p>	<p>The redevelopment and revitalization of historic downtowns with mixed uses as proposed in the Interim Draft JLUS is occurring across the United States. The history and location of the area identified for redevelopment are ideal to increase property values, solidify the City's tax base, reestablish a once vibrant downtown area, and create Valparaiso as a destination not only the community but the region can be proud of.</p>
128	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>A funding source for this program is addressed and identified in the recommendation. The noise contours are noted as an EIS-related item.</p>	<p>The City has the authority to require development standards above what the State requires. By adopting this recommendation, the City can dictate how they prefer to implement either through City Ordinance or through the State.</p>
129	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>Implementing new construction standards for new construction is not the City's responsibility. The city has adopted the Florida Building Code. The recommendation should put the burden on the State to amend the Florida Building Code.</p>	<p>idea of implementing disclosure requirements, though there is disagreement on how it can be achieved. Additional information on how to implement can be provided in the Draft version of the JLUS.</p>
130	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>In regard to the real estate disclosure, again the city is not responsible. Valparaiso has no regulatory authority over the real estate industry. Nor does the city have the staff or resources to monitor the real estate industry. The recommendation should put the burden on the State to appropriately require disclosures.</p>	<p>With the understanding that the issue of funding needs to be worked out, it is assumed the City concurs with this recommendation.</p>
131	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>Valparaiso will gladly hand out literature to developers on radio frequency, provided that Eglin AFB prepares and produces the literature. It is not the responsibility of Valparaiso to produce the literature nor should it be our financial burden</p>	

**EGLIN JLUS**  
**INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING**  
**TETRA TECH**  
**FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
132	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>We have been told by Okaloosa County JLUS Officials and the contractor, Tetra Tech, that the JLUS would be a community effort – community including affected the Counties, Cities, as well as Eglin AFB 0 and issues would be identified and mitigation solutions recommended. However, no separate chapter on the Eglin AFB mission implications on local communities was included in this report</p> <p>issues and concerns have the severity of the impact of the F-35 missions to our community have been minimized in this report. When this process began we expressed our concerns about the lack of certain data in the study. We then submitted a grant application for a JLUS Phase 2. At that point, the county, consultant, and OEA representative assured us that everything in our application could be included in the current JLUS. The only thing we see different in this interim report is the F-35 noise data. Our city will again revisit a JLUS Phase 2</p>	<p>The Eglin section will be added to Draft version of the JLUS report.</p>
133	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>Valparaiso City Officials are extremely disappointed with the interim draft JLUS report and do not accept the recommendations included within Section 12 for Valparaiso. The City will have no intention of accepting any JLUS recommendations until the USAF/Eglin AFB participates in the mitigation discussions, accepts responsibility for issues affecting the cities due to mission changes, and provides mitigation solutions to the issues which should be included in a separate section of the JLUS report</p>	<p>Impact of all of the missions at Eglin have been identified in the JLUS, not minimized.</p> <p>The City's concerns are noted; however, this JLUS cannot obligate the Air Force (or the US Congress which adopted the BRAC legislation) to any financial commitments. The JLUS Report will be an effective tool to foster continued dialogue between the City and Eglin AFB and form the basis of long-term strategies for solving the impacts of the new F-35 mission. Disassociating itself from the study would provide a potential disservice to the residents of Valparaiso as diminishing the possible effectiveness of this study due to a lack of staff or City leadership participation.</p>
134	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	<p>Valparaiso City Officials are extremely disappointed with the interim draft JLUS report and do not accept the recommendations included within Section 12 for Valparaiso. The City will have no intention of accepting any JLUS recommendations until the USAF/Eglin AFB participates in the mitigation discussions, accepts responsibility for issues affecting the cities due to mission changes, and provides mitigation solutions to the issues which should be included in a separate section of the JLUS report</p>	<p>Impact of all of the missions at Eglin have been identified in the JLUS, not minimized.</p> <p>The City's concerns are noted; however, this JLUS cannot obligate the Air Force (or the US Congress which adopted the BRAC legislation) to any financial commitments. The JLUS Report will be an effective tool to foster continued dialogue between the City and Eglin AFB and form the basis of long-term strategies for solving the impacts of the new F-35 mission. Disassociating itself from the study would provide a potential disservice to the residents of Valparaiso as diminishing the possible effectiveness of this study due to a lack of staff or City leadership participation.</p>

**EGLIN JLUS  
INTERIM DRAFT COMMENT TRACKING  
TETRA TECH  
FEBRUARY 16, 2009**

<b>ID</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Source</b>	<b>Section</b>	<b>Sub-Section</b>	<b>Reviewer Comment</b>	<b>Response</b>
135	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	It is a concern of the City of Valparaiso that the timeframe for completion of the JLUS is too short to do a thorough investigation of issues and possible remedies, and put a plan together and in place. The Study needs to be extended until after the BRAC EIS is complete. It appears that the Eglin AFB wants to get feedback from the cities/communities/county before they address specific mitigation issues in the EIS and it appears that the County and its consultants are complying with Eglin at the expense of the cities/communities/county	The JLUS will not over-ride the EIS, nor will the EIS over-ride the JLUS. If anything, having a JLUS recognizing the issues associated with the BRAC changes will add weight to any similar issues raised in the EIS. This JLUS should be viewed as a proactive opportunity to identify and address potential impacts, not as an ending point after which all discussions will cease. It should be used as a tool to approach policy makers and our fiscal stewards provide funding or whatever measures are necessary to make the Air Force's transition to the F-35 as painless as possible for surrounding communities.
136	7/10/2008	Valparaiso	Not Provided	Not Provided	The operative word in JLUS is joint. This study is flawed and unacceptable to Valparaiso. When Eglin becomes part of this study, Valparaiso will give consideration to the recommendations	Noted.
137	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.1	Replace "include" with "are" and replace "are" with "include"	Correction will be made.
138	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.3	By how much? Are the flight levels to be moved upward. Recommended density limits?	Noted.
139	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.4	Lower? Referring to population densities underneath the low level MTRs.	Noted.
140	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.5	Can we get GIS maps to use in overlays?	Noted.
141	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.6	Check Rec's with lighting ordinance	Noted.
142	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.8	Does the county have authority and ability to do this?	Noted.
143	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.3.5	Add limit for tall structures. E.g. cell towers anr or wind turbines. Change "city" to "County"	Correction will be made.
144	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.2.8	How Low? Referring to Pop. Density in cruise missile corridor. "No density increase in zone above _____ units per acre."	Noted.
145	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.4	"Done" referring to lighting ordinance implementation. "info?" educating developers about radio interference. Provide "info" for public awareness measures.	Noted.
146	2/12/2009	Walton County	13	13.4	"Done" referring to lighting ordinance bullet item under the MIA ordinance.	Noted.